**Reliability Scores**

**Language**

**Krippendorff’s Alpha:0.8230337**

**Shaffer's Rho:0.255**

**Cohen's Kappa:0.822807**

**Quality**

**Krippendorff’s Alpha:0.6305788**

**Shaffer's Rho:0.03**

**Cohen's Kappa:0.9018242**

**Stance**

**Krippendorff’s Alpha:0.4952574**

**Shaffer's Rho:1**

**Cohen's Kappa:0.4303797**

**Argumentation**

**Krippendorff’s Alpha:0.5062696**

**Shaffer's Rho:1**

**Cohen's Kappa:0.567355**

**Comment**

**Krippendorff’s Alpha:0.4198895**

**Shaffer's Rho:1**

**Cohen's Kappa:0.4215349**

**Tone**

**Writer.Character**

**Krippendorff’s Alpha:0.3380645**

**Shaffer's Rho:0.25**

**Cohen's Kappa:0.7700708**

**Remark**

**Krippendorff’s Alpha:0.4705882**

**Shaffer's Rho:1**

**Cohen's Kappa:0.6246564**

**Relevancy**

**Krippendorff’s Alpha:-0.04709141**

**Shaffer's Rho:1**

**Cohen's Kappa:0.1084233**

**Issues:**

1. Can we have derogatory comments to be a good argument?
2. Large texts sometimes have a few lines of relevant information and the rest is irrelevant. What should we do about that?
3. Profession and characteristic.
4. Only emoji.
5. Comments related to the user and not the content.
6. Relevancy to the person or content in it.